

MESSAGES, SAGES, AND AGES

ȘTEFAN CEL MARE UNIVERSITY OF SUCEAVA

ISSN 2344–6269 ISSN–L 1844–8836

The ethics statements for *Messages, Sages and Ages* (MSA) are based on the **Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors**. Submission of a manuscript to the journal implies that all authors have read and agreed to its content and that the manuscript conforms to the journal's policies.

Originality and plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Plagiarism takes many forms, from 'passing off' another's paper as the author's own paper, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another's paper (without attribution), to claiming results from research conducted by others. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is also unacceptable.

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

MSA's reviewers scan ALL submitted papers with an anti-plagiarism system.

Authorship of the paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors have approved the final version of the paper.

All published papers are made available for public access; copyright is held by the authors.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper. If the editor or the publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error, it is the obligation of the author to promptly retract or correct the paper or provide evidence to the editor of the correctness of the original paper.

Duties of editors (editor-in-chief, head of the editorial board/council)

MESSAGES, SAGES, AND, AGES EDITORIAL POLICY

These guidelines are based on [COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors](#).

The editor of a peer-reviewed journal is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published, often working in conjunction with the relevant society (for society-owned or sponsored journals). The validation of the work in question, its importance to researchers and readers, and the results of reviewing must always drive such decisions.

Fair play

An editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

- Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.
- Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.

Involvement and cooperation in investigations

An editor of MSA should take reasonably responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper. Such measures will generally include contacting the author of the manuscript or paper and giving due consideration of the respective complaint or claims made, but may also include further communications to the relevant institutions and research bodies, and if the complaint is upheld, the publication of a correction, retraction, expression of concern, or other note, as may be relevant. Every reported act of unethical publishing behaviour must be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication.

Journal Self Citation

Their editorial board should never conduct any practice that obliges authors to cite his or her work either as an implied or explicit condition of acceptance for publication. Any recommendation regarding articles to be cited in a paper should be made on the basis of direct relevance to the author's article, with the objective of improving the final published research. Editors should direct authors to relevant literature as part of the peer review process; however, this should never extend to blanket instructions to cite MSA.

Duties of reviewers

Contribution to editorial decisions

Every paper is reviewed at least by two experts, who can express freely motivational criticism regarding the level and clarity of the work, its relevance to the journal's field, and the novelty and accuracy of the results.

Contribution to editorial decisions

MESSAGES, SAGES, AND, AGES
EDITORIAL POLICY

Peer review assists the members of editorial council/board in making editorial decisions. MSA shares the view of many that all scholars who wish to contribute to publications have an obligation to do a fair share of reviewing.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editorial board.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the head of the editorial board's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and conflict of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer's own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors or institutions connected to the papers.